

~~SECRET~~
~~COMINT~~

0XC-0250-60
Copy 5 of 5
20 January 1960

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Materiel Branch, DPD-ID/P 25X1A9a

25X1A SUBJECT : Report of Trip - [REDACTED] to Pratt Whitney Plant, PRDC
January 11-13, 1960 - Fuel Control Calibration Facility

25X1A
25X1A
25X1A6a
1. General

A. Pursuant to a contemplated requirement presented by [REDACTED], Pratt Whitney Co. for the installation of a Fuel Control Calibration Facility [REDACTED] the undersigned was directed to inspect and review a similar installation at the PRDC plant.

B. Consideration for this requirement is predicated on the service and calibration of the JH-11 control system requiring a fuel system test bench similar to the present facility now in use at PRDC. This consideration also involves a choice as to whether the facility should be installed [REDACTED] or whether the tests should be conducted at PRDC, West Palm Beach, Florida. 25X1A6a

25X1A5a1

25X1A6a C. [REDACTED] strongly recommends that the facility be located at [REDACTED] however, based upon an observation of the installation and discussions with engineers at the plant regarding the service requirements necessary to support the facility, it would appear that such decision should be based primarily on the economics of the installation and operational expediency of testing and servicing the pumps and fuel control system. 25X1A6a

D. The fuel test bench proper is estimated at \$150,000. The service requirements are beyond the present capability [REDACTED] which would have to be provided at additional cost. Billeting and accommodation of qualified technical personnel would also be required.

E. Comparative costs of airlift between PRDC and [REDACTED] operational and timing requirements and supplementary costs of modifying the test facility at PRDC are factors not yet known.

25X1A
2. Test Facilities Required

A. Fuel system test bench similar to "Greer Bench" now in use at PRDC with certain modifications.

B. A hydraulic pump drive adapter and hydraulic fluid loop must be provided. The hydraulic fluid loop must contain provisions for heating the fluid to above 75°F.

~~SECRET~~
~~COMINT~~

DOCUMENT NO. 116
NO CHANGE IN CLASS.
DECLASSIFIED
CLASS. CHANGED TO: TS S C
NEXT REVIEW DATE: 2012
AUTH. HR 70-2
DATE 08/06/98 REVIEWER: 010956

SECRET

OXC-0250-60
PAGE TWO

C. An adapter for installation of the A/B pump and an air supply capable of delivering compressed air at the rate of 30 pounds per second at 220 PSIA and 1200°F will be required.

D. Services required in addition to the high pressure hot air are:

350 psi compressed air @ 10 SCFM

90 psi compressed air @ 20 SCFM

Cooling water @ 150 gpm @ 65°F. (Water tower and circulation system.)

350 KW electric power @ 440V - three phase

1 KW electric power @ 110V - one phase

100 PPH of Steam @ 350°F saturated

Building of duct tight construction, explosion proof electrical fixtures, exhaust ventilation and evaporative air cooling for the test unit in addition to out buildings to house the compressors and boiler plant.

STATOTHR

3. Test Facilities Inspected at PRDC



B. The fuel calibration facility is a rather complex installation of piping, mechanical equipment, instrumentation and electrical work containing certain items of long lead time procurement such as the 350 KW motor generator unit and controls.

4. Cost Estimates

In addition to the test bench proper estimated at \$150,000, a tentative estimate of services including building, compressors, cooling tower and boiler is \$54,200.

NET

SECRETOKC-0250-60
PAGE THREE**5. Engine Test**

In view of the considerable discussion regarding the location of run-up pads and use of silencers, the opportunity was afforded to visit the Engine Test facility while one engine was undergoing test with the after-burner in operation. The test is located several miles from the main plant and could readily be heard at this distance. The jet blast was approximately at right angle to and away from the approach road. A marked increase in noise level was noticed at the approximate half mile distance approaching the sound. In driving to within about 200 feet of the forward end, the noise and vibration was extreme and would probably not be bearable for a very long period of time without ear protection. (We had no ear protection). In driving away from the sound, the break point of attenuation was noted again at the half mile distance.

It was convincing that the run-up area should be no closer to the base than one-half mile and preferably farther especially with two engines in operation simultaneously. It was suggested that a rock barricade be constructed to intercept the sound.

6. No recommendations are submitted with this report.

25X1A9a



Engineering Section
Material Branch
DPD-DD/P

25X1A9a

MM/DD-10/1

DIST: 0 & eq 2 - C/MM/DD
 " 3 - A/MM/DD
 " 4 - C/MM/DD
 " 5 - KI/DD

SECRET